complaint pleads facts that are 'merely consistent' with a defendant's liability, it 'stops short of the line between possibility and plausibility of entitlement to relief." *Id.* (citing *Bell Atlantic*, 550 U.S. at 557). However, where there are well pled factual allegations, the court should assume their veracity and determine if they give rise to relief. *Id.* at 1950.

Here, the court finds the complaint to be unintelligible and conclusory, and the defendants' explanation of the relationship between the parties supports this conclusion. Defendants are Nevada

28

27

23

24

25

26

Case 2:11-cv-00861-JCM-RJJ Document 47 Filed 08/01/11 Page 2 of 2

1 attorneys whose client sought a writ of execution against plaintiff in a Las Vegas Justice Court 2 proceeding. Plaintiff thereafter filed a lawsuit for wrongful foreclosure, which was dismissed for failure to state a claim. Following that dismissal, plaintiff filed the instant action against the 3 4 defendants alleging claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. 5 The court agrees with the defendants in concluding that the action appears to be in retaliation for the Justice Court litigation and is meant to harass defendants. The complaint is devoid of factual 6 7 allegations regarding any actual injury suffered as a result of defendants' conduct. Rather, plaintiff 8 has merely cut and pasted elements from various claims for relief and has even attached the cover 9 sheet for a criminal complaint with the pleading. Thus, the court finds that the complaint provides 10 no fair notice to defendants of the claims against them and similarly fails to "state a claim for relief 11 that is plausible on its face." Twombly, 550 U.S. at 570. 12 Accordingly, 13 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that defendants' motion to 14 dismiss (doc. #3) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED; 15 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the case of Starks v. Bergstrom (2:11-cv-00861-JCM-RJJ) 16 be, and the same hereby is, dismissed with prejudice as frivolous; 17 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all pending motions in this case are hereby DENIED as 18 moot. 19 DATED August 1, 2011. 20 21 22 23

24

2526

27

28

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge